	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa	10/804,322	10/804,322 WANASEK, KEVIN		IN A.
Examiner-induced interview Summe	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Deborah Ma	alamud	3766	
All Participants:	Status of	Application:		
(1) <u>Deborah Malamud</u> .	(3)	·		
(2) <u>Michael Soldner</u> .	(4)	·		
Date of Interview: 30 August 2006	Time: <u>5.0</u>	00 pm		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:] Applicant's represer	ntative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				
17 and 24				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.			•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THI	GENERAL NATUR	E OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
The examiner pointed out an error on the status of the copy of the claims with updated status.	claims 17 and 24. The	applicant's represen	ntative agreed to se	end a new
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	tion. The examiner we parate record of the	vill provide a writte substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	applicant/Applicant's	Representative Si	gnature – if appro	opriate)